UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,334	03/07/2007	Francesco Santangelo	U 016325-6	9753
140 LADAS & PAF	7590 12/01/201 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61ST	STREET	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/583,334	SANTANGELO, FRANCESCO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Phyllis G. Spivack	1614		

	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(in Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the annronriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	ilad within two month	a of the data of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	таба и отот от отручного						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3 and 10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-		•				
<ul><li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li></ul>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Phyllis G. Spivack/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1614					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the terminal disclaimer filed October 8, 2010 has not been approved. Applicant is advised to use the form at the end of Chapter 1400 or the form paragraphs in 1490 or language that is clear and complies with the TD rule. More specific information concerning the proper filing of a terminal disclaimer is available through Jean Proctor at telephone number 571-2721040.

The objection to the disclosure is withdrawn.

In the last Office Action the rejection of claims 3 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Locatelli et al., Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation, in view of Droge et al., U.S. Patent 5,607,974, was maintained. It was asserted Locatelli teaches the administration of N-acetyl cysteine to treat oxidative stress resulting from hemodialysis in a patient undergoing hemodialysis. Applicant argues there is no reasons to think it cysteine could be substituted for N-acetyl cysteine.

The prior art (Atkuri et al., Current Opinion in Pharmacology) recognizes N-acetyl cysteine to be a prodrug for cysteine. The rejection of record under 35 U.S.C. 103 is maintained.